



The Fall of Paris

Ilya Ehrenburg

[Download now](#)

[Read Online ➔](#)

The Fall of Paris

Ilya Ehrenburg

The Fall of Paris Ilya Ehrenburg

This exceptional novel by the well-known Russian writer describes the decay and eventual collapse of French society between 1935 and the German occupation in 1940.

The Fall of Paris Details

Date : Published December 1st 2001 by Simon Publications (first published 1942)

ISBN : 9781931541787

Author : Ilya Ehrenburg

Format : Paperback 540 pages

Genre : Literature, Russian Literature, Fiction, Cultural, Russia, France

 [Download The Fall of Paris ...pdf](#)

 [Read Online The Fall of Paris ...pdf](#)

Download and Read Free Online The Fall of Paris Ilya Ehrenburg

From Reader Review The Fall of Paris for online ebook

Tuncer ?engöz says

Okudu?um en iyi ve en çarp?c? romanlardan biri. Bütün karakterler çok etkileyici, olaylar?n ak??? tutar?, yazar?n dili (ve roman?n Türkçe?ye çevirisi) çok iyi. Bir ulusun, bir kentin ve bu kente ya?ayan insanlar?n öyküleri anlat?l?rken, Fransa'y?, Paris'i hiç görmemi? bile olsan?z zihninizde çok canlı? manzaralar çiziliyor. Roman kahramanlar?n?n ya?anan büyük trajedi kar??s?ndaki tepkileri çok çarp?c?. Tessat, Lucien, Dess?re ve Agnes karakterlerinin hikayeleri özellikle çok etkileyiciydi. Okumam?? olanlara ?iddetle tavsiye ederim.

????? ??? says

Michael David says

Ilya Ehrenburg is a second-rate Russian author, and I mean this with no disrespect. He's definitely not as good as Dostoevsky and Tolstoy, and it's clear that Bely, Bulgakov, Solzhenitsyn, and Pasternak are much better than him. He was more of Stalin's organ than anything, and it showed in this work.

Despite the fact that in other editions it has more than 700 pages, it's only 382 pages with this edition because it was published during the war, where everything was scarce, and because its font size is probably seven or eight. The question is: would I unhesitatingly recommend this as a representative work of Russian literature?

My answer is an unequivocal *no*.

I think the best aspect of this novel is its characters. Ehrenburg may have been workmanlike with his plot, but there are, at least, a few memorable and believable characters in this novel. I find that his lack of focus toward any single character was detrimental to the novel as a whole. Ehrenburg jumped from scene-to-scene, despite not even having fleshed out certain scenes as well. As a result, the deaths that occurred in the final pages weren't affective. They were bathetic and overwritten, and the *mise-en-scene* was harried.

Despite his limits, however, Ehrenburg was capable in actually writing a decent story from Soviet propagandist literature. But it is undeniably Soviet propaganda. For example, one of the novel's central characters, Paul Tessa, was a diplomat who swayed with the tides so long as he could grab on to power. He alienated his family, but he eventually became head of France. It was nevertheless a futile aim, since the Germans came and overran their esteemed Maginot line through their *blitzkrieg*. Lucien Tessa, his son, died out of hunger after fighting for France because he was bored out of his wits. Most of the novel's other characters die out of fantastic circumstances. Jeanette, the lover of Desser, died because a bomb fell on her,

while Desser died because he lost hope in France. I say fantastic, because while their deaths were believable in the context of the novel, they could have done a lot to obviate it. Their desire for oblivion was just as sudden and as magical as their jarring character shifts. It would have been more believable had there been an epiphany, but there wasn't.

Guess who lives in the end.

Duh, **COMMUNISTS!** Only Denise Tessa and her love Michaud, after having discovered the beauty of communism, live to fight another day! **Workingmen of all countries, unite!**

'The Russians will show him something. We'll see the Red army, Denise. We're bound to see it... Hitler began it, Stalin will finish it. (p. 379)'

I wasn't even making that up.

There were so many things Ehrenburg could have done better, but this is understandable because he was a Stalin apologist. At least the novel still has memorable characters. I'd recommend watching **Army of Shadows**(1969), however, for a more accurate and visceral take on France after its German occupation during the Second World War. Philippe Gerbier couldn't be simply classified as a communist: all he wanted was a free France, and he fought for it outside the law. Devoid of any schmaltz, it showed a resilient people in war-torn France. It was also less biased than this novel, and would be a much better use of one's time. It's not a horrible book, though - but for 700 pages, it is what it is.

Here is the trailer link for Army of Shadows.

S?la J. says

I'm one of those people who don't like it when the narrative focuses on only one character. So, obviously, this book was a great read with very unique characters who have their own chapters that last for a few pages without being too boring. I had a connection with Claude, he seemed like he was preoccupied with his books even when the war was raging on.

Panagiotis says

Τελικ? ?ταν καλ?τερο απ? ?ταν ?ρχιζε, απλ?ς πρ?πει να ξεπερ?σεις τις 100 πρ?τες σελ?δες, μετ? σε ανταμε?βει. Καταπληκτικ? αναπαρ?σταση εποχ?ς, η ιστορ?α του εργατικο? κιν?ματος στη Γαλλ?α, οι μεστο? χαρακτ?ρες, ?λα ε?ναι συν. Το μ?νο κακ? ε?ναι ?τι δεν μπορ? να βρω πουθεν? τα δ?ο επ?μενα μια και αντ? ε?ναι ?κδοση του 1988, ?χι γιατ? το βιβλ?ο δεν μπορε? να διαβαστε? ως αντοτελ?ς, αλλ? γιατ? η ιστορ?α ε?ναι τ?σο συναρπαστικ?

Maria Thomarey says

Eνοι φοβερό μετα από τη σα χρονια να θυμούσαι την ασθηση που σου εχε αφεσει ενα βιβλιο

Ufuk Kara says

Muazzam.

Ahmad Sharabiani says

?????? ?????? = Pedeniye Parija = Fall of Paris, Ilya Ehrenburg

This exceptional novel by the well-known Russian writer describes the decay and eventual collapse of French society between 1935 and the German occupation in 1940.

????? ?????? ?????: ??? ??? ??? ?????? ??? 1991 ??????

?????: ??? ?????? ??????: ????? ?????????? ?????: ??? ????? ?????? ?????? ??? 1369? 829 ?? ?????: ???

????? ? ?????? ?????? ?? ??? 1914 ?? 1945 - ??? 20 ?

??? ??? ?????? ?: ?? ??? ??? ?????? ? ?????????? ??????? ?? ??? ????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????????? ??? ??????????.

????????? ?????? ? ??????? ?? ?????? ?????? ?? ??? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ??? ??

????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ?????? ?????? ?????? ?????? ??? ?? . ? ???????

Varlık Özerciyes says

Kitap kendi adıma oldukça sürükleyiciydi. Bir de ikinci dünya savaşı'nın henüz içindeyken kaleme alındı daha da çarpıcı. Ama kitabın keyifli bir kitap olduğunu söylemek zor, bilakis iç karartıcı umutsuz buldum.

z bırakan bir iki na?l??? not al?yorum:

1. Propaganda amaçlı kaleme alındı? oldu?u yönünde görü?üm oldu?tu. Ayn? ya?am yolu kitaplar?ndaki izlenimim gibi. Yer yer filtrelemeye çal?ıt?m.
2. Kitaptak ya da ilgili dönemdeki duygusal aktar?mlar?mlar a?k betimlemeleri farklı?-uzak geliyor. Ayn?s? dostoyevskide de var. Örnek beyaz geceler. Hatta yazar?n a?k k?s?mlar?n? dostoyevski ile benzer anlat?m? ?a??rtt?.
3. Tessat?n o?lunun köy köy yürüyü?ü bana kozinskinin boyal? ku?undaki delikanl?n?n yürüyü?ünü an?msatt?. Yine konu 2. Dünya savaşı?yd?. Demekki benzer tan?kl?klar? bar?nd?ran pek çok öykü var.
4. Marsilya e?lenceleri ne de çok bugünümüzü çar?r?t?r?yor.
5. Ki?isel siyasi politik macerama olan te?etler, örne?in viard karAkteri san?r?m beni daha çok ba?lad? kitaba. Ve de 1940 fransız ile günümüz aras? benzerlik.
