

Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks Down in the Light of Modern Science

Harun Yahya , Carl Nino Rossini (Translator) , James Barham (Editor)

[Download now](#)

[Read Online](#) ➔

Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks Down in the Light of Modern Science

Harun Yahya , Carl Nino Rossini (Translator) , James Barham (Editor)

Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks Down in the Light of Modern Science Harun Yahya , Carl Nino Rossini (Translator) , James Barham (Editor)

This book is the most comprehensive scientific challenge from Harun Yahya to Darwinism. As the book explains in detail, findings from the last two decades in particular openly contradict the basic assumptions of the evolutionary theory. Many branches of science, such as paleontology, biochemistry, population genetics, comparative anatomy and biophysics, indicate that natural processes and coincidental effects cannot explain life, as the theory of evolution proposes.

Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks Down in the Light of Modern Science Details


Date : Published (first published 2000)

ISBN : 9788178981345

Author : Harun Yahya , Carl Nino Rossini (Translator) , James Barham (Editor)

Format : 378 pages

Genre :

 [Download Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks D ...pdf](#)

 [Read Online Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks ...pdf](#)

Download and Read Free Online Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks Down in the Light of Modern Science Harun Yahya , Carl Nino Rossini (Translator) , James Barham (Editor)

From Reader Review Darwinism Refuted: How the Theory of Evolution Breaks Down in the Light of Modern Science for online ebook

Wendy says

Absolutely phenomenal. More comments when I'm finished.

Darmaila Wati says

This is excellent book for everybody who looks for an answer and explanation how living things.

Owlseyes says

I have bought the issue of the "Le Nouvel Observateur" in 2006; ever since, I would never forget about the cover, and the possible meanings it elicited.

Now, I have the chance to read from a creationist, in the Islamic vein.

Well, the monkey was just holding a Bible...; yes, with an American hat.

There are 2 possible explanations for life: (1) it was created by design --by Allah [or God]; (2) by evolution, appearing out of "natural processes". Yet there's a "scientific crisis" in the field of the "evolution theory", according to Harun.

In 1809, Lamarck spoke of a "Zoological Philosophy" thinking about life as evolving towards greater complexity; yet his views were invalidated by Genetics.

Louis Pasteur's experimental results showed that life cannot come from inanimate substance, yet some refused accepting his findings.

Darwin, who in his writings ("The Origin of Species") expressed a considerable amount of "self-doubt", never mentioned the question of the Origin of Life.

So, Harun raises several questions on Darwinism:

- (1) it doesn't explain the diversity of animal and plant life; what's the meaning of those "natural and coincidental variations"?
- (2) the "transitional forms" (of evolution) are yet to appear in fossils (*)
- (3) natural selection cannot explain complex organs such as eye, wings or ears.

(4) how the ancestor of all species came into existence?

Richard Dawkins, the author of "The God delusion", thinks Harun is a "naive" and a "very unpleasant man"; Harun's views are "pseudo-science".

(view spoiler)

One should ever wonder why so many species kept unchanged for millions of years. The list Harun provides is long (which includes: the scorpion, the starfish, fish, frogs, salamanders, turtles, snakes, flies and other insects, cockroaches, bats, the oyster, ammonites, the horseshoe crab..., termites, even some bacteria). Take a look at those next 2 pictures. One of a 140-million-year-old dragonfly found in Bavaria, Germany; it is like the living one, presently. The other, of a 170-million-year-old schrimp from the Jurassic Age, remains the same like today's species. As the author says, those species remained "static" for millions of years.

Another strong argument Harun uses has to do with the Cambrian explosion of life. Different groups of living beings (some 100 phyla) emerged, though this number later on diminished, due to extinction.

Fossils reject the "Tree of Life"; picture by Ernst Haeckel in 1866

The emergence of complex living beings in the Cambrian Age invalidates Darwin's theory and the Tree of Life view.

How about flying reptiles? the mechanism of Darwin's evolution just cannot account for it, says Harun.

(*) <https://www.telegraph.co.uk/news/reli...>
